ResearchGate

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/321035113

The peatland map of Europe

Article *in* Mires and Peat · November 2017

DOI: 10.19189/MaP.2016.OMB.264

CITATIONS
162

READS
4,766

59 authors, including:

Franziska Tanneberger
University of Greifswald, Partner in the Greifswald Mire Centre
90 PUBLICATIONS **3,337** CITATIONS
SEE PROFILE

Stephan Busse
Universität Greifswald
3 PUBLICATIONS **191** CITATIONS
SEE PROFILE

Alexandra Barthelmes
Universität Greifswald
50 PUBLICATIONS **2,194** CITATIONS
SEE PROFILE

All content following this page was uploaded by Agu Leivits on 17 November 2017.

The user has requested enhancement of the downloaded file.

The peatland map of Europe

F. Tanneberger[1], C. Tegetmeyer[1], S. Busse[1], A. Barthelmes[1], S. Shumka[2], A. Moles Mariné[3], K. Jenderedjian[4], G.M. Steiner[5], F. Essl[5], J. Etzold[1], C. Mendes[6], A. Kozulin[7], P. Frankard[8], Đ. Milanović[9], A. Ganeva[10], I. Apostolova[10], A. Alegro[11], P. Delipetrou[12], J. Navrátilová[13], M. Risager[14], A. Leivits[15], A.M. Fosaa[16], S. Tuominen[17], F. Muller[18], T. Bakuradze[19], M. Sommer[20], K. Christanis[21], E. Szurdoki[22], H. Oskarsson[23], S.H. Brink[23], J. Connolly[24], L. Bragazza[25], G. Martinelli[26], O. Aleksāns[27], A. Priede[28], D. Sungaila[29], L. Melovski[30], T. Belous[31], D. Saveljić[32], F. de Vries[33], A. Moen[34], W. Dembek[35], J. Mateus[36], J. Hanganu[37], A. Sirin[38], A. Markina[38], M. Napreenko[39], P. Lazarević[40], V. Šefferová Stanová[41], P. Skoberne[42], P. Heras Pérez[43], X. Pontevedra-Pombal[44], J. Lonnstad[45], M. Küchler[46], C. Wüst-Galley[47], S. Kirca[48], O. Mykytiuk[49], R. Lindsay[50] and H. Joosten[1]

[1] Institute of Botany and Landscape Ecology, Greifswald University, partner in the Greifswald Mire Centre, Germany
[2–50] For other author affiliations, see Appendix 2

SUMMARY

Based on the 'European Mires Book' of the International Mire Conservation Group (IMCG), this article provides a composite map of national datasets as the first comprehensive peatland map for the whole of Europe. We also present estimates of the extent of peatlands and mires in each European country individually and for the entire continent. A minimum peat thickness criterion has not been strictly applied, to allow for (often historically determined) country-specific definitions. Our 'peatland' concept includes all 'mires', which are peatlands where peat is being formed. The map was constructed by merging national datasets in GIS while maintaining the mapping scales of the original input data. This 'bottom-up' approach indicates that the overall area of peatland in Europe is 593,727 km². Mires were found to cover more than 320,000 km² (around 54 % of the total peatland area). If shallow-peat lands (< 30 cm peat) in European Russia are also taken into account, the total peatland area in Europe is more than 1,000,000 km², which is almost 10 % of the total surface area. Composite inventories of national peatland information, as presented here for Europe, may serve to identify gaps and priority areas for field survey, and help to cross-check and calibrate remote sensing based mapping approaches.

KEY WORDS: drained peatland, GIS, Histosol, mire, organic soil, peat

INTRODUCTION

Peatlands increasingly play a role in policy relating to climate change, biodiversity and ecosystem services. Spatially explicit information on peatland distribution is needed to raise awareness of peatlands, to assess their ecosystem values, functions and losses, and to develop and implement strategies for peatland protection and wise use (FAO 2017). Surprisingly, no detailed and complete peatland map yet exists for the continent with the longest history of peatland study and exploitation - Europe.

During the last half-century or so, maps of Europe have been produced showing mire regions (*e.g.*, Kats 1971) or the general occurrence of peatlands (*e.g.*, Lappalainen 1996). Jones *et al.* (2004, 2005) presented the first map of organic carbon in topsoil (OCTOP, 1 km × 1 km raster) but this did not include many east European countries or European Russia. A map of the European Soil Database (European Soil Bureau 2004, 1:1,000,000) covered the entire continent, but left out peat soils in various peatland-rich areas (Sweden, Denmark, Lithuania) and almost all south European countries. Montanarella *et al.* (2006) produced a peatland map by combining the OCTOP dataset and the European Soil Database, again excluding a large part of Europe (*e.g.*, Belarus, Iceland, Moldova, Russian Federation, Svalbard and Ukraine). In 2009, in response to the scarcity of harmonised up-to-date organic carbon data, the LUCAS (Land Use/Cover Area frame statistical Survey) topsoil survey was implemented at European Union (EU) level and was based on 20,000 soil samples analysed centrally (Montanarella *et al.* 2011). De Brogniez *et al.* (2015) used the LUCAS topsoil carbon data to create a map of predicted

© 2017 International Mire Conservation Group and International Peatland Society, DOI: 10.19189/MaP.2016.OMB.264

topsoil organic carbon content, an approach which Yigini & Panagos (2016) extended to predict present and future soil organic carbon stocks in the EU using climate and land cover change scenarios.

Some of the earlier pioneering work towards a peatland map of Europe was severely hampered by scarcity and heterogeneity of digital data. Until recently, geographic information systems (GIS) were not widely used across Europe, and much of the national soil and vegetation inventory information was stored in formats that were almost impossible to combine and harmonise between countries, and seldom fully accessible. Harmonisation has improved considerably in the last decade, but only at EU level. Therefore, until now there has been no peatland map for the entire geographical extent of Europe.

In recent years, information on peatland distribution within the countries of Europe has been compiled by the Greifswald Mire Centre (GMC) in the process of producing the book *Mires and Peatlands of Europe* (Joosten *et al.* 2017a) for the International Mire Conservation Group (IMCG). The history of this 'European Mires Book' dates back to 1990, when IMCG decided to compile a comprehensive report on the mires of Europe. Earlier less complete attempts include the 1980 Council of Europe review covering 17 'west' European countries (Goodwillie 1980) and the 1988 review of peat resources in the European part of the Soviet Union and 26 other European countries (Olenin 1988). The changing political situation in central and eastern Europe in the late 1980s and early 1990s provided the interest and opportunity to examine the whole of Europe. Several major regional overviews were produced (*e.g.*, Minayeva *et al*. 2009; see Joosten *et al.* 2017b for an overview) and eventually, in 2017, the European Mires Book itself was finished. During compilation of this book it became clear that most countries nowadays possess either GIS data on the distribution of peatlands or proxy data that give a fair impression of national peatland distribution. Thus, it is now possible to provide a composite map of national datasets as the first comprehensive peatland map for the whole of Europe. Along with the map, this article presents best current estimates of the extent of mires and peatlands in each European country individually and for the entire continent.

METHODS

Europe as a continent is a historical and cultural construct, defined only by convention. According to the modern geographical definition the border between Europe and Asia stretches along the Ural Mountains, the Ural River and the Caspian Sea in the east, and the Greater Caucasus range and the Black Sea with its Bosporus and Dardanelles outlets in the south-east. Fifty internationally recognised states (i.e. United Nations member states and the Holy See/Vatican City) have their territories within this geographical definition of Europe and/or are members of pan-European organisations (*e.g.*, Council of Europe). Of these 50 countries, five (Kazakhstan, Malta, Monaco, San Marino and Vatican City) are omitted because no peatlands are known to exist within (in the case of Kazakhstan, the European part of) their territories. Three archipelagos (Azores, Faroe Islands and Svalbard) are reported separately because of their geographical positions and distinct biogeographical features.

To obtain peatland distribution data we approached mire scientists, geologists, botanists, pedologists and other persons involved in mire science and peatland management from the IMCG network and beyond (usually 1–2 persons *per* country) in 2014–2016. We requested data on the distribution of 'peatland' as defined for the IMCG European Mires Book: "A peatland is an area with a naturally accumulated layer of peat at the surface" (Joosten *et al.* 2017c, 2017d). Peat is defined as sedentarily accumulated material of which at least 30 % (dry mass basis) is dead organic matter. The presence or absence of vegetation is irrelevant to the definition of peatland. No strict criterion for minimum thickness of the peat layer has been adopted, in line with the 2006 (Eggleston *et al.* 2006) and 2014 (Hiraishi *et al.* 2014) IPCC definitions of 'organic soil', which follow the FAO (2006) definition of Histosol but refrain from defining a minimum thickness for the organic layer (*cf.* FAO 2006 and FAO 2015 for Histosols) to allow for variety amongst country-specific definitions, which are often historically determined. This 'peatland' concept includes all 'mires', *i.e.* peatlands where peat is being formed (Joosten *et al.* 2017c, 2017d).

For each country, the available datasets and their correspondence to the definition of peatland adopted for our mapping purposes, as well as their uncertainties, were discussed individually before single or combined datasets were selected for inclusion in the composite map. We had to use data on soil or ecosystem types that may not exactly comply with our 'peatland' concept, but in absence of better data give a fair impression of the peatland (= organic soil) distribution, for a few countries, namely: Austria ("Moor"), Denmark ("mose", "eng", and "strandeng" protected under §3 Danish Protection Act), Finland ("suo"), Hungary ("láp"), Iceland ("votlendi"), Norway ("myr"), Russian Federation

© 2017 International Mire Conservation Group and International Peatland Society, DOI: 10.19189/MaP.2016.OMB.264

("zabolochennye melkootorfovannye zemli" and "boloto"), Sweden ("myr"), and Switzerland ("Moor"). For eight countries, information on the occurrence of peatlands was deduced from the distribution of potentially peat-forming vegetation types or peatland-associated habitat types only (Andorra, Belgium, Luxembourg, Republic of Moldova) or from vegetation/habitat information in combination with peatland data (Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Hungary, Italy). All of the national datasets used in the map are described in Appendix 1.

The map was constructed in ArcGIS 10.3 by merging national datasets ('bottom-up approach') while maintaining the mapping scale of the original input data. Polygon data for peatland borders (and rarely for larger areas containing several smaller peatlands) were used if available. For countries with point data, all points were transformed into polygons representing 50 ha and those for peatlands of size > 50 ha identified by name in the national dataset were enlarged to approximate the real shape and size of the peatland based on comparison with satellite imagery (Google Earth, *cf*. Connolly & Holden 2011).

The map layout was also produced in ArcGIS 10.3 (A3 format). For all countries except Russia, the data were displayed in greyscale 60 %. For Russia we distinguished areas with peat layers ≥ 30 cm thick (greyscale 50 %) from paludified shallow-peat lands (greyscale 30 %) (see Appendix 1). To improve visibility of the biogeographically important small peatlands in (mostly) southern Europe, polygons were symbolised in ArcGIS with solid (width 0.4 point) outlines for the following countries: Albania, Armenia, Azerbaijan, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, France (dataset 2 only; for dataset 1 the outline width is 0.2 point; see Appendix 1), Georgia, Greece, Italy, Liechtenstein, Republic of Macedonia, Republic of Moldova, Montenegro, Portugal, Serbia, Slovenia, Spain, Svalbard, Turkey and Ukraine (dataset 2 only, see Appendix 1). All other country data were depicted with dotted polygon outlines (dashes of width 0.01 point and length 1 point, separated by 9-point gaps). Very accurately mapped small peatlands (*e.g.*, in Andorra) could not be depicted. For the purposes of this article, the map was exported from ArcGIS into a tif raster format (300 dpi, 8-bit grey scale, LZW compression; provided in Supplementary Material).

Our estimates of the total peatland area *per* country rely either on the national GIS data or stem from published inventories, soil maps, or detailed lists of current peatland areas which were often personally visited by the respective national authors. Estimates of the total current mire area *per* country, *i.e.* of the area of peatland where peat is currently being formed (Joosten *et al.* 2017c, 2017d), are provisional for most countries. Whereas there are indicators for peatland degradation that can easily be identified by remote sensing (*e.g.*, low water levels, ditches, specific vegetation and land uses), it is much more difficult to reliably assess current peat formation (Joosten *et al.* 2017c). Therefore, often only a range of 'educated guesses' could be derived from existing data. The approach to estimating mire area varied substantially between countries. In many cases expert judgement was applied to roughly estimate the peat-forming fractions of areas assigned to relevant vegetation or habitat types, perhaps for EU Natura 2000 reporting purposes - for example, 100 % for active raised bog (EU Habitat 7110) and 10 % for alluvial forests with *Alnus glutinosa* and *Fraxinus excelsior* (EU Habitat 91E0). Estimates for mire areas not covered by Natura 2000 habitat types (*e.g.*, certain fens) were based on the expert knowledge of national authors. Another approach was to subtract the area of drained peatland from the total area of peatland and take the remainder as the estimate of mire area. This may have resulted in either over-estimation (if types of degradation other than drainage were present) or under-estimation (if areas reported as 'drained peatland' had been abandoned and undergone spontaneous or planned rewetting). For a very few countries with low peatland cover, in the absence of better data the total peatland area had to be used as the estimate of total mire area.

RESULTS

The distribution of peatland in Europe is strongly imbalanced, with much more peatland occurring in the north than in the south (Figure 1, Table 1). The occurrence of peatland roughly reflects the influence of rainfall and temperature, with less peatland occurring where summer temperatures are higher and rainfall is lower (Moen *et al.* 2017). The effect of different definitions of peatland is visible, *e.g.*, along the Azov Sea coast, where "paludified shallow-peat lands" are shown for the Russian Federation but not for Ukraine. The diversity in mapping accuracy among countries is visible, *e.g.*, along the Finnish-Russian border (peatlands being under-represented in the Russian Federation because maps with different scale were used - the discontinuity would disappear when using regional peatland maps for Russian provinces neighbouring Finland, *e.g.*, Republic of Karelia and Leningrad Oblast) and along the Polish-Belarusian-Ukrainian borders (with peatlands being over-represented in Ukraine).

© 2017 International Mire Conservation Group and International Peatland Society, DOI: 10.19189/MaP.2016.OMB.264



Figure 1. The composite peatland map of Europe, showing the distribution of peatland/organic soils derived from best-available national datasets. Note that small and sparsely distributed peatlands in (mostly) southern European countries are slightly enlarged for better visibility, and that the paler shading in European Russia represents peat < 30 cm thick. See text for further details.

© 2017 International Mire Conservation Group and International Peatland Society, DOI: 10.19189/MaP.2016.OMB.264

Table 1. Estimated peatland and mire areas *per* country (in km²), as in Joosten *et al.* (2017a); also expressed as fractions (%) of, respectively, the country's surface and total peatland areas. Unless otherwise indicated, the data refer to areas with a minimum peat thickness of 30 cm. The country areas are total surface areas, including land and inland water bodies but excluding polar regions and uninhabited islands, from UNSD (2012) except for European Russia. Superscripted numbers refer to notes located below the Table.

Country	country area (km²)	peatland area				mire area			
		min (km²)	max (km²)	estimate (km²)	% of country area	min (km²)	max (km²)	estimate (km²)	% of peatland area
Albania	28,748			44	0.15	3	4	3.5	7.95
Andorra	468		5	5	1.07		5	5	100
Armenia	29,743	42	52	47	0.16			37	78.72
Austria	83,871			1,200	1.43	150	200	175	14.58
Azerbaijan	86,600	0.5	2.7	2.7	< 0.1		2.7	2.7	100
Azores	2,333			160	6.86			80	50.00
Belarus	207,600			25,605	12.33			8,630	33.70
Belgium	30,528			247.8	0.81			60	24.21
Bosnia and Herzegovina	51,209	170	190	180	0.35			162.5	90.00
Bulgaria	110,900	66.5	350	208	0.19			54	25.96
Croatia	56,594	15	51.2	33.1	0.06	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.76
Cyprus	9,251			< 0.1	< 0.1		< 0.1	< 0.1	100
Czech Republic	78,866			285.4	0.36			150	52.56
Denmark	43,094		2,029	2,029	4.71		137	137	6.75
Estonia	45,227			9,150	20.23	3,100	3,400	3,250	35.52
Faroe Islands	1,393			17.6	1.26		17.6	17.6	100

© 2017 International Mire Conservation Group and International Peatland Society, DOI: 10.19189/MaP.2016.OMB.264

Country	country area (km²)	peatland area				mire area			
		min (km²)	max (km²)	estimate (km²)	% of country area	min (km²)	max (km²)	estimate (km²)	% of peatland area
Finland	337,010			90,000[1]	26.71			35,000[1]	38.89
France	551,500	2,750	3,000	2,875	0.52	750	1,000	875	30.43
Georgia	69,700			170	0.24			165	97.06
Germany	357,137			12,800	3.58			250	1.95
Greece	131,957			103	0.08			45	13.70
Hungary	93,026			300	0.32			75[1]	25.00
Iceland	103,000			5,777[2]	5.61			2,112[2]	36.56
Ireland	69,825			14,664.7[3]	21.00			2,692.7[3]	18.36
Italy	301,339	300	1,200	750	0.25		120	120	16.00
Latvia	64,562	5,991.9	9,036	7,514	11.64			3,165	42.12
Liechtenstein	160			2.6	1.63			1	38.46
Lithuania	65,300			6,460[4]	9.89			1,781	27.57
Luxembourg	2,586	3	4	3.5	0.14			0.1	2.86
Republic of Macedonia	25,713		281	281	1.09			13	4.63
Republic of Moldova	33,846			10	< 0.1			1	10.00
Montenegro	13,812			75	0.54			50	66.67
Netherlands	37,354			2,733.4	7.32			150	5.49
Norway	323,787			44,700[1]	13.81			37,700[1]	84.34
Poland	311,888			14,950	4.79			2,390	15.99

© 2017 International Mire Conservation Group and International Peatland Society, DOI: 10.19189/MaP.2016.OMB.264

Country	country area (km²)	peatland area				mire area			
		min (km²)	max (km²)	estimate (km²)	% of country area	min (km²)	max (km²)	estimate (km²)	% of peatland area
Portugal	89,879			271	0.29			10	3.69
Romania	238,391			7,690[5]	3.23			1,427.7	18.57
Russian Federation (European part)	~ 4,000,000			~ 235,000/ ~ 680,000[8]	~ 6.0/ ~ 17.0[8]		>175,000[9]	>150,000[9]	65–75[10]
Serbia	88,361	30	100	100	0.11			12.5	12.50
Slovakia	49,036			60	0.12			30.6	11.77
Slovenia	20,273			83.9	0.41	4	4.5	4.3	5.07
Spain	505,992	300	400	350	0.07	180	220	200	57.14
Svalbard	62,422			3,000[1]	4.81			3,000[1]	100
Sweden	450,295	63,700	69,200	66,450	14.76			52,300	78.71
Switzerland	41,285			280[6]	0.68			120	42.86
Turkey	783,562			220[1]	< 0.1			30[7]	13.64
Ukraine	603,500			10,000[1]	1.66			6,395[1]	63.95
United Kingdom	242,495			26,838.3	11.07	7,500	10,000	8,750	32.60
Total				**~ 593,727**				**~ 320,000**	**~ 54**

[1] > 0 cm of peat; [2] > 0 cm of organic soil with > 12 % organic carbon content; [3] ≥ 45 cm of peat if undrained and ≥ 30 cm of peat if drained; [4] ≥ 30 cm of peat if undrained and ≥ 20 cm of peat if drained; [5] > 20 cm of organic soil with 20 % organic matter content; [6] >10 cm of organic soil with 20 % organic matter content; [7] > 20 cm of peat and >1 ha; [8] peatlands (> 30 cm peat) together with paludified shallow-peat lands (< 30 cm peat); [9] very rough estimate because statistics for agriculture and forestry do not distinguish between peatlands, shallow-peat lands and paludified mineral lands within drained lands (see Sirin & Minayeva 2001, Minayeva *et al.* 2009); [10] rather rough estimates - in some regions more than two-thirds of the peatland area may be drained, whereas in other regions almost the entire peatland area is undisturbed (Minayeva *et al.* 2009, Joosten *et al.* 2017a).

© 2017 International Mire Conservation Group and International Peatland Society, DOI: 10.19189/MaP.2016.OMB.264

The total extent of peatland *per* country ranges from 0.015 km² (Cyprus) to around 235,000 km² (European Russia; Table 1). Proportionally (peatland as a fraction of the total area of the country), Finland is the country with most peatland (26.7 %). The extent of mire is highest in European Russia (more than 150,000 km²). The fraction of the total peatland area that is still mire is about 5 % or less in Croatia, Germany, Luxembourg, Republic of Macedonia, Netherlands, Portugal, and Slovenia (Table 1). In contrast, it is possibly close to 100 % in Andorra, Azerbaijan, Cyprus, Faroe Islands and Svalbard, which probably arises partly (*cf*. Andorra, Azerbaijan, Cyprus) because non-mire peatlands have rapidly disappeared by total oxidation of the peat layer. The overall area of peatland in Europe is estimated at 593,727 km² (5.4 % of the total surface area). Mires cover more than 320,000 km² (about 54 % of the peatland area). If shallow-peat lands (< 30 cm peat) in European Russia are also taken into account, the total peatland area in Europe is more than 1,000,000 km², which is almost 10 % of the total surface area.

DISCUSSION

This article attempts to provide the most accurate representation of current peatland distribution across the whole of Europe that is possible on the basis of available national data, using a consistent definition of peatland. Where recent relevant systematic national soils data are not available, we have used proxy data and expert judgement. Although this approach weakens the consistency of the methodology, we expect it to increase the reliability and completeness of the final product.

Our estimates of national peatland/mire areas are largely derived from published sources. Combining them with other national GIS-based information, especially on land use, and using appropriate algorithms (including informed guesses) may improve the data, especially with regard to drained peatlands, whose extent may change rapidly as a result of ongoing peat oxidation (*cf*. Barthelmes *et al.* 2015 for Nordic and Baltic countries; datasets used in this publication). Eventually, however, national data must be improved by carrying out new inventories, either country-wide or in part of the country.

Too often, national soils data are still very diverse and disparate (*e.g.*, different techniques and scales of field survey, different criteria for classifying soils, different sampling methods and sampling densities), making it difficult to amalgamate the data meaningfully (Bragg & Lindsay 2003, Jandl *et al.* 2014). Combining standardised raster soil data with vegetation and climate data ('top-down approach', *cf.* Jones *et al.* 2004, 2005) can avoid some of these shortcomings. Montanarella *et al.* (2006) concluded that, for most European countries, the distribution of peat and peat-topped soils is more accurately portrayed by the map of organic carbon in topsoils (Jones *et al.* 2004) than by the European Soil Map (European Soil Bureau 2004). Still, the former approach yielded results that deviate substantially from the nationally-sourced information on peatland distribution presented here.

Ideally, future peatland mapping should be based on aggregated data from local and national peat surveys rather than global soil maps (Montanarella 2014). The first step towards establishing a fully operational global peatland information system would be a complete inventory of available national peatland data, as presented here for Europe. Such an inventory can serve to identify gaps and priority areas for field surveys and further data collection activities. Remote sensing based mapping approaches (*e.g.*, Gumbricht *et al.* 2017) may benefit from 'bottom-up' composite maps of national datasets when calibrating and cross-checking their modelling results. However, as long as elaborated 'top-down' maps for the whole of Europe are still absent, our map provides the most comprehensive distribution map of peatlands in Europe.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank all persons and institutions who helped us to access the national datasets used in this work - see acknowledgements in Joosten *et al.* (2017a). We also appreciate the constructive comments on this article of Luca Montanarella, Jonathan Price and the Editor-in-Chief, Olivia Bragg.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors except those from Greifswald Mire Centre (GMC) acquired, developed and/or modified national datasets (for their own countries) according to criteria set by, and extensive discussions with, the GMC authors. They also provided and checked the information presented in Table 1 and Appendix 1. Authors from GMC collaborated with the 'national' authors to develop, improve and augment the national datasets, compiled the data in GIS, and designed and created the composite peatland map of Europe. They also wrote the first draft of this article, which was then iteratively revised by all authors.

© 2017 International Mire Conservation Group and International Peatland Society, DOI: 10.19189/MaP.2016.OMB.264

REFERENCES

Barthelmes, A., Couwenberg, J., Risager, M., Tegetmeyer, C. & Joosten, H. (2015) *Peatlands and Climate in a Ramsar Context: A Nordic-Baltic Perspective*. TemaNord 2015:544, Nordic Council of Ministers, Copenhagen, 1–244.

Bragg, O. & Lindsay, R. (eds.) (2003) *Strategy and Action Plan for Mire and Peatland Conservation in Central Europe*. Publication No. 18, Wetlands International, Wageningen, 94 pp. Online at: https://www.wetlands.org/publications/strategy-and-action-plan-for-mire-and-peatland-conservation-in-central-europe/

Broggi, M.F. (2009) Die Rietlandschaft im Dreieck der Ortschaften Ruggell, Bangs und Nofels (The Riet landscape in the triangle of the villages Ruggell, Bangs and Nofels). In: Broggi, F., Heeb, J., Nescher, M. & Rosier, X. (eds.) *Naturerlebnis Liechtenstein - Ruggeller Riet und Schellenberger Riet* (*Experiencing Nature in Liechtenstein - Ruggell Riet and Schellenberg Riet*), Alpenland Verlag, Schaan, 19–30 (in German).

Chytrý, M., Kučera, T., Kočí, M., Grulich, V. & Lustyk, P. (eds.) (2010) *Katalog biotopů České Republiky* (*Catalogue of Habitats of the Czech Republic*). Second edition, Agentura ochrany přírody a krajiny ČR, Prague, 448 pp. (in Czech).

Connolly, J. & Holden, N.M. (2011) Object oriented classification of disturbance on raised bogs in the Irish Midlands using medium- and high-resolution satellite imagery. *Irish Geography*, 44, 111–135.

de Brogniez, D., Ballabio, C., Stevens, A., Jones, R.J.A., Montanarella, L. & van Wesemael, B. (2014) A map of the topsoil organic carbon content of Europe generated by a generalized additive model. *European Journal of Soil Science*, 66, 121–134.

Eggleston, H.S., Buendia, L., Miwa, K., Ngara, T. & Tanabe, K. (eds.) (2006) *2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories*. *Volume 4. Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use*. National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme, IGES, Japan. Online at: http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/2006gl/vol4.html

European Soil Bureau (2004) *Thematic Map. PEAT. Soil Geographical Database of Eurasia. Version 4 beta, 25/09/2001 and Pedotransfer Rules 2.0. 1:30,000,000.* Online at: https://esdac.jrc.ec.europa.eu/ESDB_Archive/ptrdb/peata3.pdf

FAO (2006) *World Reference Base for Soil Resources 2006: A Framework for International Classification, Correlation and Communication*. World Soil Resources Report 103, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), Rome, 128 pp. Online at: http://www.fao.org/3/a-a0510e.pdf

FAO (2015) *World Reference Base for Soil Resources 2014: International Soil Classification System for Naming Soils and Creating Legends for Soil Maps. Update 2015.* World Soil Resources Report 106, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), Rome, 203 pp. Online at: http://www.fao.org/3/a-i3794e.pdf

FAO (2017) *Unlocking the Potential of Soil Organic Carbon: Outcome Document of the Global Symposium on Soil Organic Carbon, 21–23 March 2017, FAO Headquarters, Rome*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), Rome, 22 pp. Online at: http://www.fao.org/3/b-i7268e.pdf

Felbaba-Klyshina, L.M. (2010) [Фельбаба-Клушина, Л.М. (2010)] *Рослинний покрив боліт і водойм верхівя басейну р. Тиса (Українські Карпати) та флювіальна концепція його охорони. (Vegetation of Mires and Waterbodies of the Upper Basin of Tisa River (Ukrainian Carpathians) and a Fluvial Concept for its Protection)*. Poligrafzentr Lira, Uzhgorod, 129 pp. (in Ukrainian).

Goodwillie, R. (1980) *European Peatlands*. Nature and Environment Series No. 19, Council of Europe, Strasbourg, 76 pp.

Gumbricht, T., Roman-Cuesta, R.M., Verchot, L., Herold, M., Wittmann, F., Householder, E., Herold, N. & Murdiyarso, D. (2017) An expert system model for mapping tropical wetlands and peatlands reveals South America as the largest contributor. *Global Change Biology*, DOI: 10.1111/gcb.13689.

Hiraishi, T., Krug, T., Tanabe, K., Srivastava, N., Baasansuren, J., Fukuda, M. & Troxler, T.G. (eds.) (2014) *2013 Supplement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories: Wetlands*. IPCC, Switzerland, 354 pp.

Jandl, R., Rodeghiero, M., Martinez, C., Cotrufo, M.F., Bampa, F., van Wesemael, B., Harrison, R.B., Guerrini, I.A., Richter, D.deB.Jr., Rustad, L., Lorenz, K., Chabbi, A. & Miglietta, F. (2014) Current status, uncertainty and future needs in soil organic carbon monitoring. *Science of the Total Environment*, 468–469, 376–383.

Jones, R.J.A., Hiederer, R., Rusco, E., Loveland, P.J. & Montanarella, L. (2004) *The map of organic carbon in topsoils in Europe, Version 1.2*. September 2003: Explanation of Special Publication Ispra 2004 No.72 (S.P.I.04.72), European Soil Bureau Research Report No.17,

© 2017 International Mire Conservation Group and International Peatland Society, DOI: 10.19189/MaP.2016.OMB.264

EUR 21209, 26 pp. + 1 map in ISO B1 format, Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, Luxembourg. Online at: http://esdac.jrc.ec.europa.eu/ESDB_Archive/eusoils_docs/other/OCtopMapBkLet76.pdf

Jones, R.J.A., Hiederer, R., Rusco, E., Loveland, P.J. & Montanarella, L. (2005) Estimating organic carbon in the soils of Europe for policy support. *European Journal of Soil Science*, 56, 655-671.

Joosten, H., Tanneberger, F. & Moen, A. (eds.) (2017a) *Mires and Peatlands of Europe: Status, Distribution and Conservation.* Schweizerbart Science Publishers, Stuttgart, 730 pp.

Joosten, H., Tanneberger, F. & Moen, A. (2017b) Introduction Part I. In: Joosten, H., Tanneberger, F. & Moen, A. (eds.) *Mires and Peatlands of Europe: Status, Distribution and Conservation.* Schweizerbart Science Publishers, Stuttgart, 3–4.

Joosten, H., Moen, A., Couwenberg, J. & Tanneberger, F. (2017c) Mire diversity in Europe: mire and peatland types. In: Joosten, H., Tanneberger, F. & Moen, A. (eds.) *Mires and Peatlands of Europe: Status, Distribution and Conservation.* Schweizerbart Science Publishers, Stuttgart, 5–64.

Joosten, H., Couwenberg, J., Moen, A. & Tanneberger, F. (2017d) Mire and peatland terms and definitions in Europe. In: Joosten, H., Tanneberger, F. & Moen, A. (eds.) *Mires and Peatlands of Europe: Status, Distribution and Conservation.* Schweizerbart Science Publishers, Stuttgart, 67–89.

Kats, N.Y. (1971) [Кац, Н.Я. (1971)] Болота Земного Шара (*Mires of the Earth*). Nauka, Moscow, 295 pp. (in Russian).

Lappalainen, E. (ed.) (1996) *Global Peat Resources*. International Peat Society, Jyväskylä, 359 pp.

Martinelli, G., Cremonini, S., Samonati, E. & Stracher, G.B. (2015) Italian peat and coal fires. In: Stracher, G.B., Prakash, A. & Rein, G. (eds.) *Coal and Peat Fires. A Global Perspective. Volume 4. Peat - Geology, Combustion, and Case Studies*. Elsevier, Amsterdam, 39–73.

Minayeva, T., Sirin, A. & Bragg, O. (2009) *A Quick Scan of Peatlands in Central and Eastern Europe*. Wetlands International, Wageningen, 132 pp.

Moen, A., Joosten, H. & Tanneberger, F. (2017) Mire diversity in Europe: mire regionality. In: Joosten, H., Tanneberger, F. & Moen, A. (eds.) *Mires and Peatlands of Europe: Status, Distribution and Conservation.* Schweizerbart Science Publishers, Stuttgart, 97–149.

Montanarella, L. (2014) Mapping of peatlands. In: Biancalani, R. & Avagyan, A. (ed.) *Towards Climate-responsible Peatlands Management*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), Rome, 19–22. Online at: http://www.fao.org/3/a-i4029e.pdf

Montanarella, L., Jones, R.J.A. & Hiederer, R. (2006) The distribution of peatland in Europe. *Mires and Peat*, 1(01), 1–10.

Montanarella, L., Tóth, G. & Jones, A. (2011) Soil components in the 2009 LUCAS survey. In: Tóth, G. & Németh, T. (eds.) *Land Quality and Land Use Information - in the European Union.* Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, Luxembourg, 209–220.

Olenin, A.S. (ed.) (1988) [Оленин, А.С. (ред.) (1988)] Торфяные Ресурсы Мира: Справочник *(Peat Resources of the World: Reference Book*). Nedra, Moscow, 384 pp. (in Russian).

Sirin, A.A. & Minayeva, T.Yu. (eds.) (2001) [Сирин, А.А. & Минаева, Т.Ю. (2001)] Торфяные болота России: к анализу отраслевой информации (*Peatlands of Russia: Towards the Analysis of Sectoral Information*). GEOS Publ., Moscow, 190 pp. (in Russian).

Sirin, A.A., Maslov, A.A., Valyaeva, N.A., Tsyganova, O.P. & Glukhova, T.V. (2014) Mapping of peatlands in the Moscow Oblast based on high resolution remote sensing data. *Contemporary Problems of Ecology*, 7(7), 809–815, DOI: 10.1134/S1995425514070117.

Sirin, A., Minayeva, T., Ilyasov, D., Suvorov, G., Martynenko, V., Fedotov, Yu., Glukhova, T., Valyaeva, N., Tsuganova, O., Maslov, A., Muldashev, A., Shirokikh, P. & Kuznetsov, E. (2016) Peatlands in sub humid regions under changing climate and human activities. Abstract No. A-142 in: *Peatlands in Harmony - Agriculture, Industry and Nature - Poster Presentations*, Proceedings of the 15th International Peat Congress, Malaysian Peat Society and International Peat Society, Kuching, Sarawak, Malaysia, 409–413.

SOeS (2013) *Fiches Descriptives de Massifs à Tourbières de France Métropolitaine* (*Catalogue of Mire Massifs in Mainland France*). Document de travail No. 11, Service de l'Observation et des Statistiques (Observation and Statistics Service) (SOeS), Commissariat General for Sustainable Development, Ministry of Ecology, Sustainable Development and Energy, Paris, 736 pp. (in French).

UNSD (2012) Table 3: Population by sex, annual rate of population increase, surface area and density. In: *Demographic Yearbook 2012*, United Nations Statistics Division, New York, USA. Online at: https://unstats.un.org/unsd/demographic/products/dyb/dyb2012.htm

© 2017 International Mire Conservation Group and International Peatland Society, DOI: 10.19189/MaP.2016.OMB.264

Várallyay, G., Szűcs, L., Rajkai, K., Zilahy, P. & Murányi, A. (1980) Magyarországi talajok vízgazdálkodási tulajdonságainak kategória-rendszere és 1:100 000 méretarányú térképe (Soil water management categories of Hungarian soils and a 1:100,000 scale map). *Agrokémia és Talajtan*, 29, 77–112 (in Hungarian).

Vompersky, S.E., Ivanov, A.I., Tsyganova, O.P., Valyaeva, N.A., Dubinin, A.I., Glukhov, A.I. & Markelova, L.G. (1996) Bog organic soils and bogs of Russia and carbon pool of their peats. *Eurasian Soil Science*, 28(2), 91–105.

Vompersky, S.E., Sirin, A.A., Sal'nikov, A.A., Tsyganova, O.P. & Valyaeva, N.A. (2011) Estimation of forest cover extent over peatlands and paludified shallow-peat lands in Russia. *Contemporary Problems of Ecology*, 4(7), 734–741. DOI: 10.1134/S1995425511070058.

Yigini, Y. & Panagos, P. (2016) Assessment of soil organic carbon stocks under future climate and land cover changes in Europe. *Science of the Total Environment*, 557–558, 838–850.

Submitted 23 Dec 2016, final revision 04 Oct 2017
Editor: Olivia Bragg

Author for correspondence:
Dr Franziska Tanneberger, Institute of Botany and Landscape Ecology, Greifswald University, partner in the Greifswald Mire Centre, Soldmannstr. 15, D-17487 Greifswald, Germany.
Tel: +49 3834 4204137; Fax: +3834 4204116; E-mail: tanne@uni-greifswald.de

© 2017 International Mire Conservation Group and International Peatland Society, DOI: 10.19189/MaP.2016.OMB.264

Appendix 1: National datasets used in the peatland map of Europe

The peatland data that make up the composite peatland map of Europe include data on soil and ecosystem types that may not exactly comply with the 'peatland' concept used in Joosten *et al.* (2017c, 2017d) and this article but, in the absence of better data, give a fair impression of the distribution of peatland. See the national chapters in Joosten *et al.* (2017a) for further details and references. PY = polygon, PT = point, R = Raster, GMC = Greifswald Mire Centre.

Country	Dataset	Peatland data	Proxy data	**Dataset content**	**Year**	Data format	GIS edited by GMC	**Data creator/Holder of rights**
Albania	1	x		"livadhore torfike" (upland peatland)	1958	PY	x	Soil Science Institute (IST), Tirana
	2	x		peatlands	2016	PT		S. Shumka
Andorra	1		x	wetlands (five types)	2013	PY		Department of Environment, Ministry of Tourism and Environment
Armenia	1	x		peatlands	2016	PT	x	K. Jenderedjian and GMC
Austria	1	x		"Moore"	1992	PY		M.G. Steiner/Umweltbundesamt GmbH
	2	x		soils rich in organic material based on the agricultural soil map	2011	R	x	Bundesministerium für Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Umwelt und Wasserwirtschaft and Franz Essl
Azerbaijan	1	x		studied, expected and destroyed peatlands	2014	PT	x	J. Etzold and A. Thiele
Azores	1	x		peatlands (in a 2.5 km grid)	2014	R		C. Mendes and E. Dias
Belarus	1	x		"bolota" (mires)	2016	PY		Scientific and Practical Centre for Bioresources
	2	x		peatlands >10 ha	2016	PY		Institute of Management of Natural Resources, National Academy of Sciences
Belgium	1		x	peatlands, other mires/swobs, and wet forests (all related to Natura 2000 habitat types)	2013	PY		Natural and Agricultural Environment Studies Department, Public Service of Wallonia; Research Institute for Nature and Forest
Bosnia and Herzegovina	1	x		peatlands	2013	PY		Đ. Milanović

© 2017 International Mire Conservation Group and International Peatland Society, DOI: 10.19189/MaP.2016.OMB.264

Country	Dataset	Peatland data	Proxy data	**Dataset content**	**Year**	Data format	GIS edited by GMC	**Data creator/Holder of rights**
Bulgaria	1		x	Natura 2000 habitat types 7140, 7210 and 7230	2013	PY		National Nature Protection Service
	2	x		peatlands of international importance	2014	PT		Institute of Biodiversity and Ecosystem Research, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
	3	x		drained peatlands	1995	PT	x	T. Michev and GMC
Croatia	1	x		peatlands	2014	PT	x	A. Alegro
Cyprus	1	x		peatlands	2014	PY		P. Delipetrou
Czech Republic	1		x	mires based on NCA CR habitat mapping layer Version 2006, Chytrý *et al*. (2010), and Corine Land Cover 2006	2014	PY		J.Navrátilová and Nature Conservation Agency of the Czech Republic (NCA CR)
	2	x		peatlands based on the ČGS geological map	2014	PY		Czech Geological Service
Denmark	1	x		"mose" (area with a natural peat layer), "eng" (meadow) and "strandeng" (saline meadow) protected under §3 Danish Nature Protection Act	2009	PY		Danish Nature Agency
Estonia	1	x		peatlands	2011	PY		Estonian Land Board
Faroe Islands	1	x		peatlands	2006	PT	x	A.M. Fosaa
Finland	1	x		"suo"	2011	R		National Land Survey of Finland and Finnish Environment Institute
France	1	x		peatlands according to SOeS (2013)	2013	PY		Service de l'Observation et des Statistiques
	2	x		peatlands according to other sources compiled by Pôle-relais tourbières	2014	PT		French Mire Resource Centre, Fédération des Conservatoires d'Espaces Naturels
Georgia	1	x		peatlands	2016	PY	x	T. Bakuradze, M. Krebs and R. Kaiser
Germany	1	x		peatlands based on geological map 1:200,000 ("Niedermoor" (fen)/ "Hochmoor" (bog))	2007/ 2011	PY		Federal Institute for Geosciences and Natural Resources

© 2017 International Mire Conservation Group and International Peatland Society, DOI: 10.19189/MaP.2016.OMB.264

Country	Dataset	Peatland data	Proxy data	**Dataset content**	**Year**	Data format	GIS edited by GMC	**Data creator/Holder of rights**
Greece	1	x		peatlands	2016	PT	x	K. Christanis
Hungary	1	x		"tőzeg" (peat soil), "kotu" (degraded peat soil)	1980	PY	x	Várallyay *et al*. (1980)
	2		x	mire-related ÁNÉR habitat types	2011	R		MÉTA Program (Vegetation Heritage of Hungary) (2002–2008)
	3	x		"láp" (peat forming vegetation and peat) under legal protection	2003	PT		Ministry of Agriculture of Hungary
Iceland	1	x		"votlendi" (wetland)	2014	R		Agricultural University of Iceland (Icelandic Geographical Land Use Database (IGLUD))
Ireland	1	x		peatlands (raised bog, blanket bog, fen), blanket peat	1981	PY		R.F. Hammond and J. Connolly
Italy	1		x	areas >1 ha of Natura 2000 habitat types 7110, 7140, 7150, 7230 and 91D0	2015	PT		Italian National Institute for Environmental Protection and Research (ISPRA)
	2	x		peaty / organic soils	1966	PT	x	F. Mancini/Comitato per la Carta dei Suoli
	3	x		(former) peat extraction sites	2013	PT	x	Martinelli *et al*. (2005)
Latvia	1	x		peatlands (raised bog, transition mire, fen)	2013	PY		Latvijas Kūdras fonds, A. Lācis and O. Aleksāns
	2	x		peat extraction sites	2013	PY		A. Priede
Liechtenstein	1	x		peatlands	2016	PT	x	GMC based on Broggi (2009)/GMC
Lithuania	1	x		peatlands (raised bog, transition mire, fen)	2004	PY		Lithuanian Geological Survey
Luxembourg	1		x	Natura 2000 habitat types containing areas of open peatland	2014	PY		Ministère du Développement durable et des Infrastructures
Republic of Macedonia	1	x		"tresetishte, blato" (peatland), degraded peatland	2016	PT		L. Melovski
Republic of Moldova	1		x	wetlands, most likely with peat occurence	2016	PT	x	GMC based on chapter in Joosten *et al*. (2017a)/GMC

© 2017 International Mire Conservation Group and International Peatland Society, DOI: 10.19189/MaP.2016.OMB.264

Country	Dataset	Peatland data	Proxy data	**Dataset content**	**Year**	Data format	GIS edited by GMC	**Data creator/Holder of rights**
Montenegro	1	x		peatlands	2014	PY	x	D. Saveljić/Centre for Protection and Research of Birds of Montenegro and GMC
Netherlands	1	x		peatlands (> 40 cm peat layer)	2014	PY		Wageningen Environmental Research (Alterra)
Norway	1	x		"myr"	2015	PY		Staatens Kartverk www.statkart.no, NIBIO www.skogoglandskap.no
Poland	1	x		peatlands (>10 ha, basin and raised bogs, transition and fen peatlands)	2014	PY		Department of Nature Protection and Rural Landscape of the Institute of Technology and Life Sciences/Ministry of the Environment Poland
Portugal	1	x		internationally important peatlands	2016	PT	x	J. Mateus and P. Queiroz
Romania	1	x		peatlands (>20 cm peat layer) based on the Soil Geographical Information System of Romania (SIGSTAR-200)	1999	PY		ICPA Bucuresti, authors: R. Vintila, I. Munteanu, C. Radnea, D. Turnea, G. Curelariu, I. Nilca, M. Jalba, I. Piciu, I. Rasnoveanu, C. Siletchi, M. Trandafir, G. Untaru, R. Vespremeanu and C. Cojocaru
Russian Federation (European part)	1	x		"bolota" (peatlands, peat layer ≥ 30 cm thick) and "zabolochennye melkootorfovannye zemli" (paludified shallow-peat lands, peat layer < 30 cm thick)[1]	2016	PY		Centre for Peatland Protection and Restoration, Institute of Forest Science, Russian Academy of Sciences
Serbia	1	x		peatlands (five types; mires better covered than other peatlands)	2014	PY		P. Lazarevic and Institute for Nature Conservation of Serbia
Slovakia	1	x		mires	2012	PT		DAPHNE - Institute of Applied Ecology
Slovenia	1	x		peatlands (bog and fen)	2002	PT		A. Martinčič and P. Skoberne
Spain	1	x		internationally important peatlands	2015	PT	x	P. Heras, M. Infante, X. Pontevedra and J.C. Nóvoa
Svalbard	1	x		internationally important peatlands	2015	PT	x	GMC based on chapter in Joosten *et al*. (2017a)/GMC

© 2017 International Mire Conservation Group and International Peatland Society, DOI: 10.19189/MaP.2016.OMB.264

Country	Dataset	Peatland data	Proxy data	**Dataset content**	**Year**	Data format	GIS edited by GMC	**Data creator/Holder of rights**
Sweden	1	x		peatlands	2015	PY	x	Geological Survey of Sweden (SGU) and Metria AB/Swedish EPA; further developed by GMC (see Barthelmes *et al*. 2015, pp. 167–171)
Switzerland	1	x		"Hochmoore" (bogs) and "Flachmoore" (fens)	2010	PY		Bundesamt für Umwelt (BAFU)
	2	x		organic soils recommended for the greenhouse gas (GHG) inventory	2015	PY	x	C. Wüst-Galley *et al*./Agroscope
Turkey	1	x		main peatlands, small active peatlands, peat-like formations (< 20 cm peat, < 1 ha), degraded or buried peatland	2014	PT		S. Kirca
Ukraine	1	x		organic soil (containing "bolotni", "torfuvato-bolotni", "torfovo-bolotni" soils, "torfovyshcha")	2014	PY	x	Ukrainian Scientific Research Institute of Soil Science and Institute for Community Development
	2	x		small mires in the Carpathians (based on Felbaba-Klyshina 2010)	2014	PT	x	Felbaba-Klyshina (2010) and GMC
United Kingdom	1	x		peat and peaty soils	2011	PY	x	Macaulay Land Use Research Institute (MLURI; now James Hutton Institute) and University of Cranfield/Joint Nature Conservation Committee

[1]Data for the European part of the Russian Federation (*ca*. 40 % of the land area of Europe) are based on the 'Peatlands of Russia' Geographic Information System (GIS) of the Institute of Forest Science at the Russian Academy of Sciences, which was initiated in the 1990s (Vompersky *et al.* 1996, 2011). More accurate regional maps (*e.g.*, Sirin *et al.* 2014) exist. Small peatlands typical for the southern (*e.g.*, Sirin *et al*. 2016) and mountain regions are mostly not represented. Data for Kaliningrad Oblast were added at higher resolution to match the mapping accuracy of neighbouring countries.

© 2017 International Mire Conservation Group and International Peatland Society, DOI: 10.19189/MaP.2016.OMB.264

Appendix 2: Additional author affiliations (see title page)

[2] Faculty of Biotechnology and Food, Agricultural University of Tirana, Tirana, Albania
[3] Department of Environment, Ministry of Tourism and Environment, Andorra la Vella, Andorra
[4] Agency of Bioresources Management, Ministry of Nature Protection, Yerevan, Armenia
[5] Division of Conservation Biology, Vegetation and Landscape Ecology, University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria
[6] Faculty of Agrarian Sciences and Environment, Azores University, Angra do Heroismo, Portugal
[7] Institute of Zoology, National Academy of Sciences of Belarus, Minsk, Belarus
[8] Natural and Agricultural Environment Studies Department, Public Service of Wallonia, Gembloux, Belgium
[9] Faculty of Forestry, University of Banja Luka, Banja Luka, Bosnia-Herzegovina
[10] Institute of Biodiversity and Ecosystem Research, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria
[11] Department of Biology, University of Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia
[12] Department of Botany, Faculty of Biology, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece
[13] Institute of Botany, The Czech Academy of Sciences, Třeboň, Czech Republic
[14] Risager Consult, Randers, Denmark
[15] Nature Conservation Department, Environmental Board, Tallinn, Estonia
[16] Faroese Museum of Natural History, Tórshavn, Faroe Islands
[17] Finnish Environment Institute, Helsinki, Finland
[18] French Mire Resource Centre, Fédération des Conservatoires d'Espaces Naturels, Besançon, France
[19] Department of Geodesy and Geoinformatics, Technical University of Georgia, Tbilisi, Georgia
[20] Leibniz Centre for Agricultural Landscape Research, Müncheberg, Germany
[21] Department of Geology, University of Patras, Rio-Patras, Greece
[22] Department of Botany, Hungarian Natural History Museum, Budapest, Hungary
[23] Agricultural University of Iceland, Keldnaholt, Iceland
[24] School of History and Geography, Dublin City University, Dublin, Ireland
[25] Department of Life Science and Biotechnologies, University of Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy
[26] Environmental Protection Agency of Emilia Romagna Region, Reggio Emilia, Italy
[27] Environment Modelling Centre, Technical University of Riga, Riga, Latvia
[28] Nature Conservation Agency, Jūrmala, Latvia
[29] State Service for Protected Areas under the Ministry of Environment, Protection and Management Division, Vilnius, Lithuania
[30] Faculty of Natural Sciences and Mathematics, Ss. Cyril and Methodius University, Skopje, Republic of Macedonia
[31] Institute of Ecology and Geography, Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Moldova, Chisinau, Republic of Moldova
[32] Centre for Protection and Research of Birds of Montenegro, BirdLife Affiliate Montenegro, Podgorica, Montenegro
[33] Wageningen Environmental Research (ALTERRA), Wageningen, Netherlands
[34] NTNU University Museum, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway
[35] ITP - Institute of Technology and Life Sciences, Falenty, Poland
[36] A-dos-Cunhados (Torres Vedras), Portugal
[37] Danube Delta National Institute for Research and Development, Tulcea, Romania
[38] Institute of Forest Science, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russian Federation
[39] Institute of Living Systems, Immanuel Kant Baltic Federal University, Kaliningrad, Russian Federation
[40] Institute of Botany and Botanical Garden "Jevremovac", University of Belgrade – Faculty of Biology, Belgrade, Serbia
[41] DAPHNE – Institute of Applied Ecology, Bratislava, Slovakia
[42] Ministry of the Environment and Spatial Planning, Ljubljana, Slovenia
[43] Museo de Ciencias Naturales de Alava, Vitoria, Spain
[44] Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, University of Santiago de Compostela, Santiago de Compostela, Spain
[45] Swedish Environmental Protection Agency, Stockholm, Sweden
[46] Swiss Federal Research Institute WSL, Birmensdorf, Switzerland
[47] Agroscope, Zürich, Switzerland
[48] Department of Silviculture, Faculty of Forestry, University of Istanbul, Istanbul, Turkey
[49] Institute for Community Development, Kyiv, Ukraine
[50] Sustainability Research Institute, University of East London, London, UK

© 2017 International Mire Conservation Group and International Peatland Society, DOI: 10.19189/MaP.2016.OMB.264

View publication stats